**Review Form**

**I. Introduction**

The Journal editorial board is interested in publishing wide range of papers from the field of

the history, cultures, languages, literatures, folklore, religions, philosophy, ethnological issues, social practices and politics of the Asian and African peoples and societies.

All the papers submitted for publishing must be written in compliance with the Journals Instructions for the authors and are subject to double-blinded review. Only part V. - The Review of this form will be presented to the author thus not revealing the reviewers identity. The papers shall be classified as (1) original scientific paper, (2) preliminary communication, (3) review article, or (4) professional paper.

**II. Guidelines for the reviewers**

In reviewing please pay attention to the following elements:

(1) It is important to consider and conclude if the paper makes a valuable contribution to existing knowledge and literature in terms of theory development, new data, new methodology etc.

(2) Is the paper written and submitted according to Journals Instructions for the authors.

(3) Is the paper written and presented up to publishable standards of the Journal in terms of: whether any concepts have been defined adequately, whether the paper is well structured with coherent argumentation and well integrated, clarity of any statistical data, tables and diagrams, whether the title reflects the contents of the paper accurately, if any part of the paper should be cut out, restructured or further developed.

(4) Appropriateness of referencing in terms of giving adequate credit to other contributors in the field, major omissions and whether the references are complete and written correctly.

(5) Reviewers proposal to the course of action may be to: accept the paper as presented, repeat the review process after changes recommended by reviewer, reject the paper.

**III. Classification Guidelines**

(1) **An original scientific paper** presents the results of original research that were not previously published in either full or preliminary form.

(2) **A preliminary communication** contains at least one new scientific fact or result that requires immediate publication, but it should not contain enough details to test the described results, as is the case with original scientific articles.

(3) **A review article** contains an overall review of recent and current research in a specific area.

Papers in this category are surveys in nature that should contain critical references and evaluations. The references must be complete enough to permit a good insight into the subjectmatter.

(4) **A professional paper** does not have to be based on original research, but it should contribute to the application of well-known research results and present theoretical conceptions.

**IV. Reviewers personal data**

NAME:

TITLE:

ORGANIZATION:

ADDRESS:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PHONE:  | E-MAIL: |
| **Hereby I state that submitted review is done objectively and indifferently and is solely based on professional, scientific and ethical standards.** | **SIGNATURE** |

**V. The Review**

**A. GENERAL DATA ON PAPER**

Title:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

No. Of Pages:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ No. Of References:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**B. EVALUATION OF THE PAPER**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Poor** | **Below****Average** | **Average** | **Good** | **Excellent** |
| **GENERAL EVALUATION** |
| Theoretical / conceptual framework |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statement of the problem |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significance of research |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literature review |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality of data or findings |  |  |  |  |  |
| Article’s value to members of the research community |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results and conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Readability and writing style |  |  |  |  |  |
| **ARTICLE CONTENT ASSESSMENT EVALUATION** |
|  | **Yes** | **No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| The article is of interest to members of the research community |  |  |  |
| Paper title reflects the content and purpose of the research. |  |  |  |
| Summary includes information important for understanding the content of the paper. |  |  |  |
| The introduction clearly defines the purpose and objective of the work/research. |  |  |  |
| Showing results support the applied methodology and conclusions. |  |  |  |
| The conclusion is based and contributes to the discharge of treated problems. |  |  |  |
| Worked out a review of previous research in the treated area. |  |  |  |
| **EVALUATION OF ARTICLE’S ORGANIZATION**  |
| Terminology and measurement units are aligned with the metrology rules. |  |  |  |
| The references reflect the topicality of the article. |  |  |  |
| The article is written in standard language, relevant and interesting. |  |  |  |

**C. ORIGINALITY OF THE PAPER AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO THE FIELD**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **None** | **Trivial**  | **Modest**  | **Important**  | **Very significant** |
| Contribution to the field |  |  |  |  |  |

Other titles covering the same issues:

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**D. OVERALL REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND IMPRESIONS**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**E. OVERALL EVALUATION**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reject** | **Accept with major Revision and further review** | **Accept with minor revision without further review** | **Accept****unconditionally** |
| Evaluation |  |  |  |  |

Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (if any):

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**F. CLASIFICATION OF THE PAPER**

If the paper is accepted unconditionally or is accepted with minor revision without further review under the term that author has revised the paper according to the Reviewers recommendations on improvements and revision (which is evaluated by the chief editor) the paper should be classified as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Original scientific paper |  |
| Preliminary communication |  |
| Review article |  |
| Professional paper |  |